App. F. IX-4.

1925.

Previous references. (Courts)

File No. 217-25

Serial Nos. 1-13

PRESERVED

Later references.
do 46-26(1-12)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 13

Part B for August of 26

SUBJECT

Proposal for the grant of permanent advance to the Bench Courts in the State

G.O.Nos. 5744-5748 31-7-26

To the Regr. the Court
Comptroller.
Dms of Dists
New Coun.

Sanctions to each Bench Court a sum of Rs 3/-.

Manasamany Iyengar
17/8/26

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 57-16

191 .

(Forest)

Serial Nos. 4-10.

Later references.

Destroy

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4-10.

Part B for July 1916.

SUBJECT.

Continuance of Annual Tripal Fairs.

From

Govt of UP No. 13619.

Requests sanction to the ——

Sd/- D. E. Hamilton for the Comptr.

Sanctions the recommendation

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

J. S. Murthy 21.8.16

G. R. S. III—1] 1925-26

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Courts

NOTES.

File No. 217

FILE HEADING

Permanent advance to Bench courts

From

The Regr. Chief Court

Roc No. 285 Dated 12-3-26 Received 13-3-26

Diary No. 36 | Enclos. one file Spare Copies. | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the D. M. Mysore reports that the contingent bills of the Bench Courts at Srirangapatna and Fraser Rocks relating to the year 1925-26 were not adjusted out of the contingent grant for that year as the bills were not received in time and that the comptroller has taken objection to the charges being met out of the grants for the year 1925-26 and recommends that the contingent charges may be ordered to be met out of the grants for the year 1925-26 and that to avoid delay in the countersigning procedure, each court might be given a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to be recouped on detailed contingent bills, to Chairman of the court for the time being who may acknowledge the amount and account for it.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) According to para 2 of Govt. no. G 13546-58/25-42-19-8 dy 10-12-1919, the contingent bills of the Bench Courts have to be incorporated with the contingent bills of the Deputy Court and District magistrates concerned. If the bills from the Bench courts were not received in time, the District magistrate should

NOTES—First Sheet.

WD 1881—GPB—30,000—1-8-21

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | CS File No. 217 of 192 5-26

Serial No.

have reminded the Bench courts to forward these bills. This difficulty has not arisen in any of the other Bench courts in the State. The Chairmen of these Courts may not know the procedure and if one chairman spends the amount the other chairman who takes charge may not accept these charges or may not know how ~~to be~~ the amount has been spent. To avoid this difficulty perhaps the Contingent bills of the Bench Courts may be ordered to be incorporated in the bills of the Dy Courts concerned under Art 470 the power to sanction permanent advances for contingent expenditure to subordinate officers has been delegated to the chief court. Hence if they think necessary they can sanction the same. No fresh sanction seems necessary. The contingent expenditure already incurred may be met from the contingent grant of the current year's budget. Submitted with a draft.

18/2/26

1) There are two points for orders:—

(1) according sanction to the expenditure in question being met from the current year's grant of the Bench Courts & (2) abolishing the present procedure giving a permanent advance of Rs 30/- to each Court & permitting it to recoup the amount as expended by means of DC Bills.

2) As regards the 1st, sanction to the proposal has to be given & a draft is accordingly put up.

3) Regarding the 2nd point, the proposal involves the modification of the G.O already issued

If Bench Courts bills relating to Shorapur & Munirabad where the Courts were first started had been dealt in another connection.

Serial No.

in the matter, viz that the contingent charges of the courts are to be incorporated in the bills of the Dy Comr & Dt Magistrate. The ~~Dist~~ Bench Courts, according to the present proposal, are to be allowed a permanent advance of Rs 3 — which is the same as the budget grant now given to the courts per mensem — which they ~~can~~ have to spend as necessity arises & recoup the amount so spent ~~by drawing~~ presumably on their own DC Bills. Whether this proposal can be accepted is for orders. The Fin. Branch may be consulted on the point.

5) If this proposal is accepted, a general order will have to be issued applicable to all the Districts. The draft now put up sanctions the amounts of bills in question being debited to the current years grant and reserves for separate orders the proposal for the abolition of the existing procedure.

Subd for orders

apr 20/3

6) The sanction may be recorded on the Bills in question.

7) The Rules orders on this point, viz the question viz authorising the Bench magistrate to recoup the amounts on D.C Bills may be considered.

8) Draft Ltr.

Lt Col
12/3/26

25
22/3

21-3

28
23/3

636/263

NOTE—Conts. Sheet

ISSUE & RESUBMIT.

Serial No.

2. To The Regtr ch. ct
The Comptroller

WOP 5027-8 D 31.3.26.

Accords sanction for meeting the Contingent charges amounting to Rs 81/12/0 of the D. Courts at Seringapatam & H. Rocks relating to 1924-25 from the Contingent grants allotted for the respective Courts for the current years Budget.

H 2604

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

9) Drop is approved & may be entered as one

10) Issue 28/3 31/3

11) During my recent visit to the Bench courts at Seringapatam & Hebbal I was brought to my notice that great difficulty & inconvenience was felt by the Bench Magistrates in respect of petty charges to be incurred from time to time for contingencies, on the absence of a permanent advance or of a power for the Courts to draw their contingent amounts on abstract contingent Bills & to furnish detailed bills ~~which might be subsequently sent~~ in due course. I think that this inconvenience is real; and that either the Courts should be allowed to draw their contingent grants on abstract bills & account for their amounts in detailed bills or that they should be given a small permanent advance of Rs 50/- as suggested by the Chief Court. & that the latter course will be preferred.

12) The file being may be consulted on this point.

30/3

31.3

28

31.3

Ans Secy with Compts

P.T.O.

Serial No

Fr 2604

(13) A permanent advance of Rs 3/- may be allowed to the Chairman of each Bench Court as proposed. I would, however, suggest that instead of multiplying the advances, a sub imprest of Rs 3 may perhaps be given by the District Magistrate out of the District Office permanent advance. If possible.

Vide Rule 1 under art- 191 of Pc vol I.

9.4.26.

(14) Sub Advances are not possible. In every district there is an average 10 courts: so one would have to spare Rs 30/- Send with a draft G.O. sanctioning a permanent advance

(15) Draft for appl

(16) What is the amt of P. advance a court? Do it will be desirable to have a Bench Court spare a sum Rs 30/- from their permanent advance to be given as imprest to the Bench Magistrate on deputation for the day

(17) Send with a statement showing the permanent advance held by the Dy Comrs and the amount that they would have to spare as Sub Advances to the Bench courts in their Dt. Perhaps they may be asked to spare the amount.

G.

Information from the Comptroller's Office

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

18 The D.C's note of appeared, he contributed (1st member) Rs 18/4 19 Yrs - This may be done 21/4 22/4 22/4 22/4 22/4

20 Ind with adopt. 24/4/26 Asst 26/4 21 Mee 27/4

3 To The Dy Comm & Dts magts. No PS 555-62 D 29-4-26 Enquiries whether he cannot give to each of the Bench Comps in his Dt, a subimprest of Rs 3 out of his office permanent advance. 3/5

4 From The D.M. Tumkur. Letter no: D & Dis 1795 D 11.5.1926 States that it is not possible to give any sub imprest to the Bench Courts out of this office permanent advance which is only Rs 200/-. 22 Ind The receipt of reports from the other Dts magts awaited 23 Y/8 28 14/5 NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Asst 25 14/5 13/5/26 Asst 18/5

4047 gpc
P 4448

7 7

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

U5 File No. 217 of 1925-26

Serial No.	
5	From The D. m. Hassan Dt. Letter no Lois C 280 d 12.5.26 States that all the Bench courts in the Dt. except Hassan and Bhadravati have been advanced a sum of Rs 2/- each as Int imprest from 17 2/25- and that the other courts may also be given. out of this office P. A U4 Sent for perusal. The receipt of reports from the other Dts may be awaited 25
6	From The D. m. Mysore. Letter no D2 dis 1598 d 18.5.26 States that it is not possible to spare a Sub imprest out of this office imprest and suggests that each Bench Court in the Dt except the Bench Court at Mysore be given a fixed contingent allotment of Rs 1/- p.m. the amildars of the Talukes being authorised to draw and disburse the same to the Chairmen of the Bench Courts of the respective Talukes. 26 Reports from 5 districts are yet due. The receipt of reports may be awaited for a fortnight more and ten Rs issued if necessary 27

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

4755 4688

& 8

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C/S File No. 217 of 1925-26

Serial No.

7 From

The D.C. Bangalore

Letter no: je 1580 & 4-6-26

States that a sum imprest of Rs 40/- has been made to the Bangalore Subdivision Assistant Comr. and there will be no difficulty in giving a sub-imprest of Rs 3/- to each of the 10 Bench Courts in the Dt. Reports from four Dts are yet due. Sent with a draft Rs. 29 by Issue Cas 8/6

8/6/26 8/6 Issued DP 6235-8 D 10/6.

8 From.

The Dy. Comr. Kolar. Letter no: je 329 & 8-6/26

States that the present imprest of Rs 160/- is barely sufficient to meet the unforeseen and urgent expenses of this office and suggests that the Bundars of respective Taluks may be directed to draw and disburse the contingent amount to the Bench Courts every month instead of every quarter from the Judicial Branch of the D.C's office

30 Sent for perusal. Reports from the D.Cs of Kadur, Shimoga and Chitaldrug are yet due for which a letter issued yesterday. The receipt of reports from these Dts may be awaited

NOTE—Contn. sheet

11/6 11/6/26 (31) Yes Cas 11/6

GPIA 70.000-7-12-15

Serial No.	
9	From the Dy Magte Shimoga No J.C. 648/25-26 Dt 11-6-26. States that a sub-imprest of Rs 3/- in the case of the Bench Court at Shimoga town and Rs 2/- in the case of other Bench Courts may be given as suggested by the Registrar, Chief Court, out of the imprest at the disposal of the Amildars of respective Taluks, subject to recoupment every month & that the Bench Court may be authorised to draw the amount expended towards Contingent charges on D.C. Bills duly Countersigned by the Dy Magte of the Dt. Subd for perusal.
32	Reports from the D.Cs of Kadur & Chitradurg are yet due twice be awaited. 33 Dy. Cur 16/6
05 17/6	15/6 AA 15/6
10	From The D.C. of Kadur. Letter no mis 893/28 6/26 States that he is not in favour of granting a sub-imprest to the Bench courts as it will involve endless correspondence between the Bench courts and the Comptroller.
34	The report from Chitaldrug Dt is alone but with a draft RV. 83 8/6/26 Issue Cur 5/7

NOTE—Contra. Sheet

Serial No

From

The D.m. Lehritalamp. Letter no C/9.9 6-7-26 State that the comptroller has permitted to draw the amount of Rs 2/- Banchins towards contingent charges for each Bunch Court on monthly bills signed by the respective chairmen and that the Bills are not incorporated in the contingent bills of the Dy Comm's Office and it is inconvenient to grant any subimprest from this Office permanent advance.

Ibid.

36 A Statement showing the opinion of the various D.C.s is put up Flag J. From this it can be seen that 5 out of 8 D.C.s are not in favour of granting a subimprest. One Dy Comm. suggests that the subimprest can be granted out of the permanent advance of the Amildar. The majority are against the proposal to grant a sub imprest.

37 As regards procedure, the practice is not uniform. It is suggested that the Amildars may be permitted to draw the amount and disburse the same

38 A uniform practice seems necessary. The chief Court suggestion to grant a permanent advance to each Bunch Court must be accepted on detailed contingent bills may perhaps be adopted

39 Ibid with reference to paragraph 19. above

B/ 10/7/26 40 Submitted with reference to paras 11 to 13 and 19.

41 To avoid the difficulties felt by Bench Courts in the matter of petty purchases for want of a permanent advance the

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III—2

119/P

General and Revenue Secretariat | 65 File No. 47 of 1925.

Serial No	
	inconveniences follg during adjustment of Charges incurred, the Chief Court proposed (Paper under disposal) the grant of a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to each Court. The Fin Secy had no objection to the proposal but suggested as an alternative a sub-imprest of Rs 3/- from the imprest of the ~~Deputy Comrs~~ Distt Magts Concerned (Para 13)
	42) The opinion of the ~~Deputy Comrs~~ Distt Magistrates was called for & 5 are against the suggestion (vide flagd). As stated by them the ~~per~~ imprest is not large enough to allow of a sub-imprest being given to each Bench Court in the Distt. There is also the necessity for correspondence & final adjustment. It is much better to give a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to each Bench Court, as suggested by the Chief Court. To this alternative the Fin Secy has no objection as already stated. No exception need be made such as the Bench Court Jagroa & other courts which have now a sub-imprest. The practice will be uniform. Put up orders (Asst Secy).
	1st Nov. 43) I agree. Issue orders in terms of the draft.
	44) Done.

446/14.7

Cay 12.7 & 13.7 Cay 13.7

16/7

16/7

16/7

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

19 234

CS- File No. 217 of 1925-26

Serial No. 12

From.

The Registrar Co-operative Societies.

Letter No. 235-R/12-7-26

Requests early orders on his proposals to provide each Bench court with a permanent advance of Rs 3/-

45) Submitted with a draft.

B 19/7/26 for 29/7

46) Please type as revised by Secy

Secy 29/7

CS 27/7

47) Submitted with a typed draft as revised for Consideration

B 27/7/26 for 29/7

Dy Secy

1st Asst.

Secy 29/7 Secy 26/7 Secy 27.7

I agree see 43

Sec 29/7 Secy 29.7

Secy 29.7

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 27 JULY 1926 No. 1020

Fe 344/26

Vide Fe Secty's note dt 9-4-1926 at page 5.

Draft & fair copies are put up.

B 8R 3-8-26

By 3/8

To the Registrar

H 811/dated 4-8-26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 219 of 1925.

Serial No.	
13	To The Registr Chief Court. The Comptr Thro' Secy. The Dy magtes of Dts. The Rev Comr. ROP 524-54 dt 31.7.26. Sanction to each Bench Court a permanent advance of Rs 25/- the amount being recouped as expended by means of detailed Contingent bills drawn by the Chairman of the Bench Court for the time being who will acknowledge the permanent advance and account for it.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

1. D. M. Chitaldrug:

Grant of Sub-imprest is inconvenient. The practice of drawing the contingent charges for sanctioned items of expenditure on bills signed by the respective chairmen countersigned by the Comptroller may be adopted

2. D. M. Kadur

Not in favour of granting a Sub imprest. The following suggestion to grant a permanent advance of Rs 3/- to each Bench Court may be adopted

3. D. M. Shimoga

Sub imprest can be granted out of the Amildars permanent Advance subject to recoupment every month, on D.C. Bills countersigned by the Magistrate.

4. D.M. Kolar.

D.C's office permanent advance is insufficient for hire hence no amount can be shared for Sub-imprest. Amildars may be directed to draw and disburse the contingent amount to the Bench Courts every month instead of every quarter from the Judicial Branch of the D C's office

5. DM Bangalore

A Sub-imprest of Rs 40/- has already been given to the Sub Division officer and there will hence be difficulty in granting a sub imprest of Rs 3/- to each Court as suggested except that there will be some difficulty in maintaining the cash account in the D C's office

6. DM Mysore.

Not possible to spare any sub-imprest from this office P. Advance. A fixed contingent allotment of Rs 3/- to each Bench Court except the City Bench Courts the Amildars of the Talukas being authorised to draw and disburse the same to the Chairmen

given, the

7. DM Hassan.

All the Bench Courts except 2 have been advanced Sub-imprest out of this office to advance. These two may also be given

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

8. DM. Tumkur.

Not possible to spare any sub-imprest to Bench Courts out of this office P. Advance

3607
P 8 26

38090

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,

Bangalore....12th..March..*1926*.

R. O. C. No. 235
Dis. 10-3 Enc 1 one file

Cs 217-25-1

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Bg. 13 MAR. 1926 To C. D. SECTION.

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

B. NAGAPPA, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Depts
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I am directed to state that the District Magistrate, Mysore District reported that certain contingent bills of the Bench Courts at Seringapatam and French Rocks, on account of expenditure incurred during the months between July 1924 and June 1925, were not adjusted out of the Contingent Grants of 1924-25. When an attempt was made to cash the bill in July 1925 it was disallowed by the Comptroller on the ground that the charges which were debitable to the Grant for the year 1924-25 could not be met from the Grant for the year 1925-26 in the absence of sanction from Government.

The District Magistrate was thereupon asked to explain the delay in the adjustment of the amount. It is understood that the Bench Courts have not been given any permanent advance or imprest from which to meet petty contingent expenditure and subsequently recoup the amount by means of detailed contingent bills.

As a result of this, the procedure that is being followed is that the several Bench Courts send their contingent bills to the District Magistrate's office where they are

consolidated

2

consolidated, and the whole amount drawn and then disbursed to each of the Bench Courts. I am to observe that this cumbersome procedure must lead to delay, and avoidable correspondence both to the Bench Courts and the District Magistrates. There is no reason why the Bench Courts should not be given a small permanent advance of say Rs.3/- and draw the amount expended whenever necessary from the Treasury like other Courts.

I am, therefore, to recommend to Government that the present cumbersome procedure may be abolished and suitable orders passed providing each of the Bench Courts with a permanent advance of Rs.3/- and permitting them to recoup the amount as expended, by means of detailed contingent bills. The Chairman of the Court, for the time being, may be required to acknowledge the permanent advance and may be made accountable for it.

In the present instance, I am to request that the Government may be pleased to sanction the expenditure in question being met from the Contingent Grants of the Bench Courts referred to for the current official year (1925-26). The contingent bills and other connected papers submitted by the District Magistrate, are sent herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Theruvadayanachari
12/3/26

REGISTRAR.

TKV.

G.R.S. VI G 34]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section C5

File No. 217/25

Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue.... 31/3/26

Signed by.....

Read—

G.O. no G 13546-58/25 dated 29-9-25 sanctioning the constitution of Benches of honorary magistrates in the ~~Kolar~~ Talukas and the taluk Headquarters of ~~Bangalore~~ and Bench Courts among the taluks and ~~the taluk Headquarters~~ in Kolar and Mysore Dists. and directing that the contingent charges of these Bench Courts may be ~~not~~ incorporated in the bills of the Deputy Comrs. and District Magistrates concerned.

~~Order Thereon No~~

2. Letter no Roc 235/25 dated 12-3-1926 from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that the Dist. Magistrate reports that the contingent charges of the Bench Courts at Seringapatam and Bench Rocks relating to the year 1924-25 were not adjusted out of the grants for the

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

that
year ~~1924-25~~ as the bills of these
Courts were not received in time by the Dr Magistrate
and that the Comptroller has taken
objection to these being adjusted from the grants
~~for~~ for the year 1925-26 and
requesting sanction ~~that~~ (1) to the adjustment of
bills ~~being adjusted~~ from the grants allotted
~~of the Courts~~ for the Bench Courts during
the current year and ~~suggesting~~ (2) to the ~~grant of a~~ abolition of the present
~~That this Albany might be awarded~~ procedure and the grant of a permanent
~~to the Courts an imprest or permanent~~ advance of Rs 3/- for each Bench Court
~~advance~~ for each Bench ~~Court~~ ~~so that~~ permitting it to recoup the
advance of Rs 3/- ~~per~~ Court ~~to be~~ amount as expended by means of
~~vouched on detailed contingent~~ detailed contingent bills
bills. ~~The~~ Chairman of the Court ~~for~~
~~the time being~~ be ~~knowledge~~ the
permanent advance and ~~made~~
~~cash~~ accountable for the same

order. G.O. 5027-8 Fin.

~~The~~ Sanction is accorded
to meet the contingent charges
amounting to Rs 21-12-0 of the
Bench Courts at Srirangapatna
and Travel Rocks relating to
year 1924-25 from the contingent
grants allotted for the respective Bench courts
in the Current year's budget.

2. The Srirang Court may ~~if they think~~
~~it necessary~~ sanction ~~to be~~ a permanent advance to the Bench courts
~~in consultation with the Comptroller under~~
~~a permanent advance of Rs 3/- each under~~
~~suitable advice as per~~ Art 470 M.T.C Vol I.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Separate orders will be issued
regarding the abolition of the present
procedure suggested
by the Chief Court

WD 1885—GPB—1,000,00—51-12-21

Sd/- L. Lally

Sd/- J. D.

To
The Rgr: Srirang Court with the enclosures
in connection

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section C/o

File No. 217-25

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Seria No. 3

No. 5333-G

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To The Dy. Com^rs and Dt. magistrates of D

Sir

I am directed to state that it has been brought to the notice of Govt. that the present practice of the contingent charges of Bench courts being incorporated in the contingent bills of the Dy. com^rs and Dt. magistrate concerned involves delay and much avoidable correspondence both to the Bench courts and the Dt. magistrates. The Hon'ble Chief Court has suggested that the Bench courts may be given a permanent advance of Rs. 3/- the

over

Date of issue 29/4/26

Signed by M^c J

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.: 28/4

Examined by.....

WD 0562—GPB—31,000—17-8-25

6

Chairman for the time being acknowledging and accounting for the same & the amounts spent being recouped on detailed contingent bills. Govt are not in favour of increasing the number of permanent advances, and I am to enquire whether ~~the~~ you cannot give, to each of the Bench Courts in your district, a sub imprest of Rs 3 out of your office permanent advance ~~Dy Court concerned cannot spare the advance to all the Bench Courts~~ amount of Rs 3/- ~~for~~ to this D- each Bench Court out of the ~~permanent advance held by him~~

An early reply is requested

Yours &c

27/4 Dorabji

24/4/25

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District.

Dated Tumkur the, 11th May 1926.

Cb 217-28-4

From

K.V. Anantaraman, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

T u m k u r.

To

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-Law,

Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore, Local &

Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. P. 5555-62 Cts 217-15-3 dated 29th April 1926 in the matter of allowing sub - imprest of Rs 3/- to the Bench Courts, I have the honor to state that it is not possible to give any sub-imprest to the Bench - Courts out of this office permanent advance, which is only Rs 200/-.

I have the honor to be.

sir,

Your most obedient servant.

K V Anantaraman

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD.11/5.

47954

No. L.Dis. 6 2806-26

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District,
Dated Hassan, the 12th M a y 1926.

From

R. Nanasamy Rao, Esquire.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

To

R. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Local and Legislative
Departments, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Subject:- Imprest to Bench Magistrates' Court.

With reference to your letter No. P. 5555-62/Cts. 217-25-3 dated 29th April 1926, enquiring whether the Bench Courts in this District may be provided with an imprest of Rs3/- out of this office Permanent Advance, I have the honor to state that all the Bench Magistrates' Courts, except Hassan and Alur, have been advanced a sum of Rs2/- each, as Sub-Imprest, out of the Permanent Advance of this office with effect from 17th February 1925. Accordingly the other two Bench Courts may also be given.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

A.S.

49091

4448 P 25 9

No.D2.Dis. /25-26.Rev.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
Dated, Mysore, 18th May 1926.

Cfs 217-25-6

From

A.V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

R. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local & Legis.Depts.,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.5555-62/Cts. 217-25-31 dated the 29th April 1926 enquiring whether a sub-imprest of Rs.3/- out of the office permanent advance can be given to each Bench Court, to avoid delay now occurring in the submission of the contingent bills, I have the honor to state that it is not possible to spare any money to the Bench Magistrates out of my imprest considering the needs of this office. Further the mere grant of an imprest to the Bench Magistrates would not obviate the delay now noticed in the submission of the bills by the Bench Magistrates. Much time is not lost in sending the amount to the Bench Magistrates by this office by means of R.T.Rs. in cases where the bills are otherwise admissible and submitted to this office promptly. There is considerable delay in the submission of bills and rectification of errors and defects therein and in obtaining the sanction of the Comptroller in case the bill gets more than 3 months old by the time it reaches this office in a complete form.

To avoid all these unnecessary correspondence and delay, I beg to suggest that the Bench Courts (excepting that of Mysore City) in the District, may be given a fixed contingent allotment of Re.1/- a month based on the average expenditure for the last 3 years, the Amildars of the Taluks being authorised to draw and disburse the same to the

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local & Legis.Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated,Mysore, 18th May 1926.

Despatched.

No. D2.Dis. 1598/25-26.Rev.

Subject:-

States that it is not possible to spare any money to the Bench Magistrates out of this office imprest.

to the Chairmen of Bench Courts of the respective Taluks.

As the City Bench Court is within the limits of Mysore City and as a sub-imprest of Rs.5/- has been given to it by this office, the practice of drawing and disbursing the amount by this office may be continued.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

A.N.

05

51545

4688 P 25

No. Jc 1580 25.26

Office of the District Magistrate,
Bangalore District,
Dated, Bangalore, the 31st May 1926.
2/6/26.

11

From

B. Venkoba Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Bangalore District.

Cts 217-25-7

To

Nagappa, Esqr., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.5555-62/Cts.217-25-3 dated 29th April 1926 enquiring whether it is possible to give each of the 10 Bench Courts in the District a Sub imprest of Rs.3/- out of this office permanent advance, I have the honour to state that out of the permanent advance of this office, a sub-imprest of Rs.40/- has already been made to the Bangalore Sub Division Assistant Commissioner and there will be no difficulty in giving a sub imprest of Rs.3/- to each of the 10 Bench Courts in the District as suggested, but I am to add in this connection that there will be some inconvenience caused in maintaining the cash account of this office as every time these sub-imprests are to be shown as having been advanced to the several Bench Courts.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Venkoba Rao

District Magistrate, Bangalore District.

2/6/26.

52081.

4755
12 P 925

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER, KOLAR DISTRICT.

No:
Encs.

Dated Kolar, the 8th June 1926.



1c 829
1926

Cts 217-25-8

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No:P.5555-62/Cts.217-25-3 dated 29th April 1926, enquiring whether I can spare a Sub imprest of Rs 3/- to each of the Bench Courts in this District, I have the honor to state that the present imprest of Rs 160/- sanctioned to this office is barely sufficient to meet the unforeseen and urgent expenses of this office.

2. As regards the delay in the payment of contingent charges to the several Bench Courts in the District, I would suggest that the Amildars of respective taluks may be directed to draw and disburse the contingent amount to the Bench Courts every month instead of every quarter from the Judicial Branch of this office as at present.

I have the honor to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For District Magistrate,
Kolar District.

V.V.R.
4626.

cb 52379

4790 P 13

J.C.648/25-26

Office of the District Magistrate,
Shimoga District,
Dated, Shimoga the 11th June 1926.

From

cb 217-25-9

A.K.Syed Taj Peeran, Esquire., B.A.,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

B.Nagappa, Esquire., Bar-at-law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local & Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.5555-62 ets., 217-25-3 dated 29th April 1926 enquiring whether a sub-imperst of Rs 3/- can be issued out of the permanent advance at the disposal of the Deputy Commissioner to each of the Bench Courts in the District, I have the honour to state that a sub- imprest of Rs 3/- in the case of the Bench Court at Shimoga Town and Rs 2/- in the case of other Bench Courts may be given as suggested by the Registrar, Chief Court, Bangalore, out of the imprests at the disposal of the Amildars of respective taluks, subject to recoupment every month. The Bench Court may be authorised to draw the amount expended towards contingent charges on D.C.Bills duly countersigned by the District Magistrate of the District.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For District Magistrate,
Shimoga District.

SKN.

D. M. 2.
No. 893
Encs. as per.

00030

1/P 1.4

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KADUR DISTRICT.

Dated Chikmagalur, 28th June '26

CP 217-25-10



FROM, G. B. Heblikar, Esq, B.A., LL.B.,
DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
KADUR DISTRICT.

To B. Nagappa, Esq, Bar-at-Law.,
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Local & Legis. Departments DEPARTMENT,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. P. 5555-62/Cts.217-25--3 dated the 29th April 1926, enquiring whether a sub imprest of Rs. 3/- out of this office permanent advance cannot be given to each of the Bench Courts in this District to enable them to meet petty contingent charges, I have the honor to state that I am not in favour of giving a sub-imprest to the Bench Courts, as it will involve endless correspondence with the Bench Courts and the Comptroller. I am of opinion that the recommendation of the Chief Court that each of the Bench --

01154

119
P 726

Tel: Address:—Dismag,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. C.J. No. 9/26-27
Enclosures. 1

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated Chitaldrug, 6th July 1926.

CP 217-25-11

FROM

S. VENKATRANGAM, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.



To

B. Nagappa Esquire Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. P.5555-62/Cts 217-25-3 dated 29th April 1926 enquiring whether a sub imprest of Rs 3 can be given to each of the Bench courts in this District out of my office permanent advance, I have the honor to state that the Bench courts in this District have been permitted to draw the amount of Rs 2 sanctioned for their monthly contingent charges along with other sanctioned items of expenditure on monthly bills signed by the respective Chairmen as instructed in para 3 of the Comptroller's letter No.179/TADA dated 7th August 1925, an extract of which is enclosed for reference. Thus the contingent charges of these courts are not being incorporated in the contingent bills of my office and the grant at any sub imprest to them is unnecessary. Moreover it is inconvenient to grant any sub imprest from this office to courts scattered in different parts of the District as it will tend to increase correspondence.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkatrangam

Deputy Commissioner and
District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District

S.V.

171

Extract of para 3 of letter No.179 TADA dated 7th August 1925 from the Comptroller in Mysore to the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Dept: Chitaldrug.

3. Nine Bench courts one at the head quarters of each Taluk and one at Harihar have also been sanctioned with effect from 1st October 1925. The charges relating to the Bench courts as detailed in the margin may be @@@@@@ cashed on bills signed by the respective Chairman of each court. Only one bill for each court in which all charges referred to in the margin may be included should be cashed every month. The post of a clerk on Rs 25 in the Bench Magistrates' court at Chitaldrug town is abolished with effect from 1st October 1925.

(b) Bench Magistrates' courts:-

Establishment.	Rs 10.
House rent.	10.
Contingencies.	2
Miscellaneous.	3.
Total:-	25.

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

" C O P Y."

District Magistrate,
Chitaldrug District.

S.V.

12161

DIARY No. 234 P

Telgm:
"JUSTICE"

19 -19 CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,
Public Office Buildings, Cubbon Park,
Bangalore, 12th July 1926

SECTION
FILE No. 217-25
SERIAL No. 12

R. O. C. No. 235
Dis. 25-26.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
R. O.
14 JUL. 1926
G. D. SECTION.

From

A. Sreenivasaragavachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to G.O.No.P.5027-8/cts. 217-25-2, dated 31-3-1926, according sanction to meet the contingent charges of the Bench courts at Seringapatam and French Rocks relating to the year 1924-25 from the contingent grants allotted to the said Bench courts for the subsequent year 1925-26, and stating that separate orders will be issued regarding the abolition of the present procedure obtaining in the Bench courts under which the contingent bills of the several Bench Courts are drawn and disbursed by the District Magistrate's office.

I am to state that a similar reference has been received from the District Magistrate, Tumkur in which sanction is solicited to meet the contingent charges of the Bench court at Kunigal for November 1924 out of the current year's grant. The matter is still under correspondence.

In the meanwhile, I am to request that Government may be pleased to pass early orders regarding the abolition of the present procedure of drawing and disbursing contingent bills of the Bench courts by the District Magistrate's office and also to pass

suitable

suitable orders providing each of the bench courts with a permanent advance of Rs.3/-.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Viswanathan

for REGISTRAR.

VVn.

vj

15 Copies Revised Draft 20

Proceedings of the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

Ch 217-25-13

Government order No.P.5027-8/Cts. 217-25-2 dated 31-3-1926 stating that separate orders will issue regarding the abolitic of the present procedure for meeting the contingent charges of the Bench Courts.

2. Correspondence ending with the under-mentioned letters from the District Magistrates of Districts in the matter of the grant of a sub-imprest to each Bench Court in the Districts out of the permanent advance of the respective Deputy Commissioner Office.

(1)	Letter	No.D1 Dis.1795	dated	11-5-26	from the Dt.Magte,Tumkur
(2)	do	L.Dis.C.28026	"	12-5-26	" Hassan
(3)	do	D1Dis.1598	"	18-5-26	" Mysore
(4)	do	JC.1580	"	4-6-26	" Bangalore
(5)	do	329	"	8-6-26	" Kolar
(6)	do	648	"	11-6-26	" Shimoga
(7)	do	893	"	28-6-26	" Kadur
(8)	do	CJ.9	"	6-7-26	" Chitaldrug.

=====

Order No.P. 544-54

Dated Bangalore, 31/7/1926.

The contingent charges of the Bench Courts are at present being incorporated in the contingent bills of the District Magistrates concerned, who draw the whole amount and ther disburse to the several courts. It has been brought to the notice of Government that this procedure involves delay and much avoidable correspondence both to the Bench Courts and

and the District Magistrates and that -- charges incurred in one year have sometimes to be met out of the grants for the next year.

2. To remedy this state of affairs, either a small permanent advance has to be sanctioned to each Bench Court, or instead of multiplying permanent advances, a sub-imprest has to be given by the District Magistrate out of the District Officer's permanent advance. The majority of the District Magistrates who were consulted, are not in favour of granting any sub-imprest to these Bench Courts as the permanent advance held by them is not large enough. Government are accordingly pleased to sanction to each Bench Court a permanent advance of Rs.3/-, the amount being recouped as expended by means of detailed contingent bills. The Chairman of the Bench Court for the time being will acknowledge the permanent advance and account for it.

3. The District Magistrates of Dts. are requested to issue needful instructions to give effect to the new arrangement -- hereafter.

Secretary to Government,
Local & Legis.Depts.

To

The Registrar, Chief Court, under a c.
" Comptroller thro' Fl.Sectt.
" Dt.Magtes. of Dts.
" Revenue Commissioner

KNN

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVEN IE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. P. 544-54—Cts. 217-25-13, dated 31st July 1926.

Permanent advance for the Bench Courts.

Sanctions a — for meeting the contingent charges.

READ—

Government Order No. P. 5027-8—Cts. 217-25-2, dated 31st March 1926, stating that separate orders will issue regarding the abolition of the present procedure for meeting the contingent charges of the Bench Courts.

2. Correspondence ending with the undermentioned letters from the District Magistrates of Districts in the matter of the grant of a sub-imprest to each Bench Court in the Districts out of the permanent advance of the respective Deputy Commissioner's Office.

(1) Letter No. D 1 Dis. 1795, dated 11th May 1926, from the District Magistrate, Tumkur
(2) Letter No. L. Dis. C. 28026, dated 12th May 1926, from the District Magistrate, Hassan
(3) Letter No. D 1. Dis. 1598, dated 18th May 1926, from the District Magistrate, Mysore
(4) Letter No. J. C. 1580, dated 4th June 1926, from the District Magistrate, Bangalore
(5) Letter No. 329, dated 8th June 1926, from the District Magistrate, Kolar
(6) Letter No. 648, dated 11th June 1926, from the District Magistrate, Shimoga
(7) Letter No. 893, dated 28th June 1926, from the District Magistrate, Kadur
(8) Letter No. C J. 9, dated 6th July 1926, from the District Magistrate, Chitaldrug

ORDER No. P. 544-54—Cts. 217-25-13, DATED 31ST JULY 1926.

The contingent charges of the Bench Courts are at present being incorporated in the contingent bills of the District Magistrates concerned, who draw the whole amount and then disburse to the several courts. It has been brought to the notice of Government that this procedure involves delay and much avoidable correspondence both to the Bench Courts and the District Magistrates and that charges incurred in one year have sometimes to be met out of the grants for the next year.

2. To remedy the state of affairs, either a small permanent advance has to be sanctioned to each Bench Court, or instead of multiplying permanent advances, a sub-imprest has to be given by the District Magistrate out of the District Office permanent advance. The majority of the District Magistrates who were consulted, are not in favour of granting any sub-imprest to these Bench Courts as the permanent advance held by them is not large enough. Government are accordingly pleased to sanction to each Bench Court a permanent advance of Rs. 3, the amount being recouped as expended by means of detailed contingent bills. The Chairman of the Bench Court for the time being will acknowledge the permanent advance and account for it.

3. The District Magistrates of Districts are requested to issue needful instructions to give effect to the new arrangement hereafter.

B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

To—The Registrar, Chief Court.
The Comptroller to Government, through the Financial Secretariat.
The District Magistrates of Districts.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Exd.—P. S. R. N.

W D 236—GPB—487—9-8-26.